




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

|   |           |  |
|---|-----------|--|
| <b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b><br>D05C 15/04, 17/02, B32B 3/02, 33/00,<br>D02G 3/36   | <b>A1</b> | <b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 95/30040</b><br><b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 9 November 1995 (09.11.95)   |
| <b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US94/04687<br><b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 29 April 1994 (29.04.94)<br><br><b>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US):</b> KLEEN-<br>TEX INDUSTRIES, INC. [US/US]; P.O. Box KTI, By-Pass<br>Road, LaGrange, GA 30241 (US).<br><br><b>(72) Inventors; and</b><br><b>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only):</b> COMBS, John, S.<br>[US/US]; 408 E. Third Street, LaGrange, GA 31833 (US).<br>McHARGUE, Michael [US/US]; 1082 Phillips Road,<br>Lanett, AL 36863 (US).<br><br><b>(74) Agents:</b> MAHER, Kathleen, L. et al.; Jones & Askew, 37th<br>floor, 191 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, GA 30303-1769<br>(US). |           | <b>(81) Designated States:</b> AU, BR, CA, CN, FI, JP, KR, NO, NZ,<br>US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB,<br>GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).<br><br><b>Published</b><br><i>With international search report.</i> |

**(54) Title:** IMPROVED TRACK CONTROL MAT AND METHOD OF MAKING THE SAME

**(57) Abstract**

An improved, washable track control mat (10) made of varied denier fibers and method of making the same. The mat (10) is made by first making yarn (14) made of fine (16) and coarse (18) denier fibers. The fibers (16, 18) are twisted together. More than one yarn (14) may be twisted together. The yarn or yarns (14) may be heat treated. The yarn or yarns (14) are then fed into a tufting machine where tufts (12) of varied denier fibers are held within a substrate (20). The tufts (12) are bonded to the substrate (20) by a backing (22), preferably rubber.



**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

|    |                          |    |                                       |    |                          |
|----|--------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| AT | Austria                  | GB | United Kingdom                        | MR | Mauritania               |
| AU | Australia                | GE | Georgia                               | MW | Malawi                   |
| BB | Barbados                 | GN | Guinea                                | NE | Niger                    |
| BE | Belgium                  | GR | Greece                                | NL | Netherlands              |
| BF | Burkina Faso             | HU | Hungary                               | NO | Norway                   |
| BG | Bulgaria                 | IE | Ireland                               | NZ | New Zealand              |
| BJ | Benin                    | IT | Italy                                 | PL | Poland                   |
| BR | Brazil                   | JP | Japan                                 | PT | Portugal                 |
| BY | Belarus                  | KE | Kenya                                 | RO | Romania                  |
| CA | Canada                   | KG | Kyrgystan                             | RU | Russian Federation       |
| CF | Central African Republic | KP | Democratic People's Republic of Korea | SD | Sudan                    |
| CG | Congo                    | KR | Republic of Korea                     | SE | Sweden                   |
| CH | Switzerland              | KZ | Kazakhstan                            | SI | Slovenia                 |
| CI | Côte d'Ivoire            | LI | Liechtenstein                         | SK | Slovakia                 |
| CM | Cameroon                 | LK | Sri Lanka                             | SN | Senegal                  |
| CN | China                    | LU | Luxembourg                            | TD | Chad                     |
| CS | Czechoslovakia           | LV | Latvia                                | TG | Togo                     |
| CZ | Czech Republic           | MC | Monaco                                | TJ | Tajikistan               |
| DE | Germany                  | MD | Republic of Moldova                   | TT | Trinidad and Tobago      |
| DK | Denmark                  | MG | Madagascar                            | UA | Ukraine                  |
| ES | Spain                    | ML | Mali                                  | US | United States of America |
| FI | Finland                  | MN | Mongolia                              | UZ | Uzbekistan               |
| FR | France                   |    |                                       | VN | Viet Nam                 |
| GA | Gabon                    |    |                                       |    |                          |

## **“IMPROVED TRACK CONTROL MAT AND METHOD OF MAKING THE SAME”**

### 10 **Technical Field**

The present invention relates generally to carpet, and more particularly relates to an improved carpet with coarse and fine fibers, and a process for making the same. The carpet, when used as a mat, removes large and small dirt particles from  
15 footwear due to improved grit and particulate gripping and moisture absorbing capabilities.

### **Background of the Invention**

Many different carpets exist for varied purposes.  
20 Carpets are manufactured generally by feeding yarn from spools through needles into a substrate. This process is known in the art as “tufting.” The type of yarn, length of needle, the needle spacing, and whether the yarn is looped or cut are just a few factors that relate to the final carpet product and its intended use.  
25 This invention relates to carpet that is manufactured for use in making mats, such as welcome mats or “track control” mats as they are known in the industry.

Typically, track control mats are placed at or near an entrance of a house or other building to remove dirt, moisture or

other matter from the bottom of the shoes, and conveyances (such as grocery carts and dollies) used by persons entering the building. The wiping action should occur as the result of normal walking of one or two steps on the mat before the persons walk  
5 through the interior of the building.

To prevent dirt and moisture from being tracked from outside throughout the interior of the building, the mats must not only have the ability to remove moisture and dirt from footwear, but also to store the dirt and moisture within the body  
10 of the mat. If a mat does not effectively store the dirt and moisture within the mat, and leaves the dirt and moisture on the surface of the mat, the dirt and moisture will re-attach or stick to the bottom of footwear of other persons who subsequently walk on the mat. Dirt and moisture which re-attaches or sticks in this  
15 manner will then be tracked throughout the building, a condition known in the art as "re-tracking".

Some types of track control mats are made of a combination of coarse and fine fibers or filaments (hereinafter collectively referred to as "fibers"). These mats have been widely  
20 used in the past for the purpose of removing soil, moisture and other matter from a person's shoes or outer footwear. The coarse fibers help to remove large particles of dirt and the fine fibers help to remove the fine particulate and moisture. There should be sufficient space between fibers to temporarily hold dirt  
25 and moisture to prevent re-tracking.

The intended use of a mat relates to the manner in which the mat is constructed. For example, if a mat will be

Because of the desirability for periodic washings, mats should be manufactured in a manner that will withstand continued exposure to washing and drying. The materials used to make the mat should be able to withstand elevated temperatures in both the washing and drying processes. In addition, mats should be constructed so that the tufts do not separate from the backing during the cleaning process. Adhesion of the tufts to the carpet backing is known as "tuft lock." Many commercially available track control mats at present exhibit poor tuft lock and, as a result, are ineffective for reuse after washing.

Other types of track control mats already exist. In United States Patent No. 4,045,605 ("the '605 patent") issued to *Breens et al.*, coarse and fine fibers are used to create one type of track control mat. *Breens et al.* describes consecutively feeding coarse and fine fibers into the tufting machine from two separate sources. In addition, according to *Breens et al.*, the coarse and fine fibers can be alternately fed to the tufting machine to create alternating areas of coarse and fine fibers on the mat. While this type of track control mat appears to be effective in removing undesirable dirt and other particles from footwear, it is susceptible to wear out in a short period of time. The concentrated areas of stiff fibers exhibits poor tuft lock and become dislodged from the track control mat during use as well as during washing and drying. Moreover, the concentrated areas of stiff fibers, described in *Breens et al.*, tend to lie down against the backing. Conversely, if the mat were manufactured to provide sufficient stability for the coarse fibers, the fine fibers

exposed to significant moisture, the mat may be designed to contain a greater number of fine fibers to absorb and hold the moisture within its recesses. On the other hand, a mat that may be exposed to a significant amount of large particles of dirt may  
5 need to have a greater number of coarse fibers.

The degree of coarseness or fineness of a fiber is measured by its denier. The term "denier" refers to the weight in grams for a 9,000 meter fiber. A coarse fiber or filament for use in track control mats typically ranges in denier from 100 to 2,000  
10 denier per filament ("dpf"); and fine fibers for the same application typically range from 15 to 100 dpf.

Commercial and public buildings receive large volumes of pedestrian traffic. As a result, the mats in these buildings should be able to remove and store large quantities of  
15 dirt and moisture on a daily basis. For continuously effective dirt removal, most mats should be cleaned periodically so that the dirt and moisture stored within the mat are removed. The most effective way to clean mats is to wash them. Some mats cannot be washed due to the types of materials used and method employed  
20 in manufacturing the mat. Mats that cannot be washed and reused have a limited effectiveness or lifetime.

Most track control mats are made with a backing that holds the tufts in place relative to the substrate. The backing is typically a plastic or rubber material that is melted or vulcanized  
25 and applied to the substrate. In this state, the backing bonds the tufts to the substrate.

would have to be so densely tufted to support the coarse fibers inserted therein that the mat would be completely ineffective in retaining dirt or moisture.

United States Patent Nos. 4,820,566 ("the '566 patent") and 5,055,333 ("the '333 patent"), both issued to *Heine et al.*, also describe the use of a mixture of fine and coarse fibers tufted to form a track control mat. The '566 and '333 patents teach that the coarse fibers should be separated from the fine fibers, typically to form a striped or checkerboard arrangement between the two types of fibers. While this type of track control mat may be effective at removing and storing dirt and other particles from the bottoms of shoes, the coarse fibers tend to become dislodged during use or washing of the mat because they are not adequately secured to the mat backing. The tuft lock for these fibers is poor because when the coarse fibers are bonded to the backing at separate locations from the fine fibers, the coarse fibers do not bond well by themselves to the backing. Thus, when the mat is used and washed, many of the coarse fibers become dislodged from the backing. This renders the mat less effective in dirt and moisture removal and storage after a short period of time.

The '566 patent also describes a mat made with at least some crimped coarse fibers. Mats manufactured in accordance with the '566 patent are extremely difficult to manufacture because the crimped fibers kink up as they are fed through the tufting needles which causes the fibers to eventually break. This requires the entire process to be shut down, the

needles rethreaded and the process restarted. The continuous kinking and ultimate breakage of the crimped coarse fibers results in a poor quality mat having many broken coarse fibers and many unattractive knots where the crimped fibers had to be reknotted or spliced to continue the tufting process. The frequent breakage of the crimped coarse fibers results in a significant decrease in productivity. In addition, mats using crimped fibers are also more expensive to manufacture due to the added process cost of crimping the fiber.

10           The '333 patent describes a mat made with at least one looped, uncrimped, coarse fiber. While mats made in accordance with the '333 patent do not have some of the above-identified problems associated with crimped fibers, it has been found that looped pile mats are less effective in dirt removal.

15   The term "pile" refers to the surface appearance of the carpet or mat. Carpet pile is either cut or looped. Mats having looped pile are difficult to clean and remove the dirt held therein. Thus, after a period of time, the mats having looped pile become less effective at dirt removal and storage.

20           Accordingly, there is a need for a washable track control mat made of varied denier fibers that is effective in removing and storing large and small dirt particles, moisture and other matter.

          There is a further need for a washable track control

25   mat that exhibits good tuft-lock so that the tufted fibers do not separate from the backing.



There is still a further need for a washable track control mat made of varied denier fibers wherein the coarse, high denier fibers will not separate from the backing after use or when subjected to commercial washing and drying.

5           There is yet a further need for an effective washable track control mat made with reduced yarn processing costs.

          There is yet a further need for a method of manufacturing a washable track control mat made of varied denier fibers wherein the mat is effective in removing and storing  
10   large and small dirt particles, moisture and other matter.

          There is a further need for a method of manufacturing a washable track control mat that exhibits good tuft-lock so that the tufted fibers do not separate from the backing.

15           There is still a further need for a method of manufacturing a washable track control mat made of varied denier fibers wherein the coarse, high denier fibers will not separate from the backing after use or when subjected to commercial washing and drying.

20           There is yet an even further need for a method of manufacturing a washable track control mat where yarn processing costs are reduced.

### **Summary of the Invention**

25           As will be seen, the present invention overcomes these and other disadvantages associated with prior art track control mats. Stated generally, the present invention provides a

washable track control mat comprising tufts with at least one tuft being made of a yarn that is made of at least one fine denier fiber and at least one coarse denier fiber. The yarn is made by twisting together the coarse denier fiber with the fine denier fiber. The  
5 mat includes a substrate for receiving the tufts. The tufts in the substrate are bonded to a backing.

The present invention also is directed to a method for manufacturing a washable track control mat. The method includes twisting together at least one coarse denier fiber with at  
10 least one fine denier fiber to create a yarn. The method also includes tufting the yarn through a substrate and bonding the tufts to the substrate.

Thus, it is an object of the present to provide a washable track control mat made of varied denier fibers that is  
15 effective in removing and storing large and small dirt particles, moisture and other matter.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a washable track control mat that exhibits good tuft-lock so that the tufted fibers do not separate from the backing.

20 It is a further object of the present invention to provide a washable track control mat made of varied denier fibers wherein the coarse, high denier fibers will not separate from the backing after use or when subjected to commercial washing and drying.

25 It is a further object of the present invention to provide an effective, washable track control mat with reduced yarn processing costs.

It is yet a further object of the present invention to provide a method of manufacturing a washable track control mat made of varied denier fibers wherein the mat is effective in removing and storing large and small dirt particles, moisture and  
5 other matter.

It is yet an even further object of the present invention to provide for a method of manufacturing a washable track control mat that exhibits good tuft-lock so that the tufted fibers do not separate from the backing.

10 It is still a further object of the present invention to provide a method of manufacturing a washable track control mat made of varied denier fibers wherein the coarse, high denier fibers will not separate from the backing after use or when subjected to commercial washing and drying.

15 It is yet a further object of the present invention to provide a method of manufacturing a washable track control mat where yarn processing costs are reduced.

Other objects, features and advantages of the present invention will be apparent upon reading the following  
20 specification taken in conjunction with the drawings and appended claims.

### **Brief Description of the Drawings**

Fig. 1 is a cross-sectional view of a portion of a  
25 washable track control mat of the present invention made with single yarn tufts; and

Fig. 2 is a perspective view of a double-ply yarn that may be used in the manufacture of the present invention.

### **Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments**

5 Referring now to the drawings in which like numerals indicate like elements throughout the several views, Fig. 1 shows a side view of a portion of a track control mat 10. The mat 10 is made of a plurality of tufts 12. The tufts 12 each include a yarn 14 made of a plurality of fine fibers 16 and one  
10 coarse fiber 18. The coarse fiber 18 is preferably made of nylon or polyester. The tufts 12 are secured to a substrate 20 by means of a backing 22. The backing 22 bonds the tufted yarns 14 to the substrate 20. The backing 22 is preferably vulcanized rubber.

The mat 10 is made by first manufacturing the yarn  
15 14 used in the tufting process. The yarn 14, as shown in Fig. 2, is made of a plurality of fine fibers 16 twisted with one or more coarse fibers 18. The denier range for the fine fibers 16 is preferably between 20 and 24, and the denier range for the coarse fibers 18 is 300-600. It is preferable that one coarse fiber 18 is  
20 used with a plurality of fine fibers 16 in each yarn 14. The preferred weight ratio of fine fibers 16 to coarse fibers 18 is 20-24% to 76-80%, respectively.

The fine fibers 16 are twisted with the coarse fiber 18 to form a single-ply yarn 14. The twist of the fine fibers 16  
25 enables the fine fibers to stand up better when they are tufted into the substrate 20. Moreover, the twist of the fine fibers 16 help to support the coarse fiber 18 within the body of the yarn 14 so that

the coarse fiber does not lie down when the mat 10 is finished. It is preferred that the yarn 14 be twisted 5 to 6 turns per inch.

Once the yarn 14 is twisted, it may be heat treated to set the twist in the fine fibers 16. Some of the preferred fine  
5 fibers 16 used in the yarn 14 are made of non-heatset materials and do not need to be heat treated. Thus, the heat treatment of the yarn 14 after twisting is optional.

It has been found that a plurality of yarns 14 or "plies" may be used in the manufacture of the mat 10 of the  
10 present invention. Fig. 2 shows two single-ply yarns 14 being twisted together to form a double or two-ply yarn 24. The number of plies affects the resultant mat product 10, along with other factors discussed in detail below.

After the yarn 14 is twisted and heat treated, if  
15 desired, and twisted with another yarn, if desired, the yarn is wound on spools and fed into tufting needles of a tufting machine where the tufts 12 of the mat 10 are formed into the substrate 20, shown in Fig 1. After the tufts 12 are formed, the tufting machine cuts the tufts at the desired length. In the present  
20 invention, the tuft length or pile height preferably ranges from 1/4 to 3/4 inches. Moreover, the needles in the tufting machine may be arranged to alter the density of the mat 10. The distance between needles or "gauge" should preferably range from 5/32 to 3/16 inches. In addition, the number of stitches each needle  
25 makes per inch impacts the final product of the mat 10. It is preferred that the needles make between 5 to 7 stitches per inch for a 5/32 inch gauge and between 5 to 8 stitches per inch for a

3/16 gauge. The particular dimensions specified herein provides a mat 10 with sufficient numbers of voids 26 between the tufts 12 to receive and store dirt and moisture in sufficient volumes required of an effective track control mat.

5           The resultant mat 10 will vary in weight for a fixed length of carpet as a result of the different variables discussed above. It is preferred that the resultant mat 10 weigh between 16 to 24 ounces per square yard prior to the application of any backing 22.

10           Once the yarn 14 has been fed through the tufting needles and into the substrate 20, the mat 10 is moved from the tufting machines to an area where the backing 22 is applied to the to bond the tufts 12 to the substrate 20. The backing 22 holds the individual tufts 12 to the substrate 20 and is machine washable.  
15   This feature enables the mat 10 to be subjected to commercial washing without degradation of the tufts 12. A backing 22 made of rubber is also skid resistant and prevents the mat 10 from moving around once it is placed on the floor.

          Applicant has conducted two tests to determine the  
20   effectiveness of the tuft-lock of mats made in accordance with the teachings of the present invention. The first test involved washing a first mat made in accordance with the present invention and a second mat made pursuant to the disclosure in the '566 and '333 patents. Both mats were washed twenty (20) times. A visual  
25   comparison was made of the two mats. The mat made in accordance with the teachings of the present invention showed no significant loss of coarse or fine fiber. However, the mat made in

accordance with the '566 and '333 patents showed significant loss of coarse fiber. This test demonstrates the capability of the present invention to achieve effective tuft-lock and maintain such tuft-lock after many washings.

5           The second test was related to analytically determining the effectiveness of the tuft-lock of the present invention. Applicant compared the fiber loss of mat samples made in accordance with the present invention (set 1) with those made in accordance with the '566 and '333 patents (set 2). Both  
10 sets of samples were bone dried and weighed, then soaked with water, hung and allowed to drip dry for five (5) minutes. Both sets were then abraded for 2,500 cycles on a U.S. Testing Abrasion Tester. After being abraded, both sets were bone dried and weighed again. Set 1 showed a loss of 0.555 grams. Set 2  
15 showed a loss of 2.094 grams. The significant fiber loss of the mats made in accordance with the '566 and '333 patents further demonstrates the effectiveness of the tuft lock achieved with the mats made pursuant to the present invention.

          It will be appreciated that the embodiments discussed  
20 above are the preferred embodiments, and that various alternative embodiments are contemplated, falling within the scope of the appended claims. For example, while nylon or polyester may be the preferred materials for use as the coarse fiber, natural fibers, such as jute may be used.

25           It should be understood that the foregoing relates only to preferred embodiments of the present invention and that numerous modifications or alterations may be made therein

without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as set forth in the appended claims.



## CLAIMS

We claim:

1. A process for making a washable track control  
5 mat comprising the following steps:

twisting together at least one coarse denier  
fiber with at least one fine denier fiber to create a yarn;  
tufting the yarn through a substrate; and  
bonding the tufts to the substrate.

10

2. The process of claim 1 wherein there are a  
plurality of fine denier fibers.

3. The process of claim 1 wherein the coarse  
15 fiber ranges from 100 to 2000 denier.

4. The process of claim 3 wherein the coarse  
fiber ranges from 300 to 600 denier.

5. The process of claim 1 wherein the fine fiber  
20 ranges from 15 to 100 denier.

6. The process of claim 5 wherein the fine fiber  
ranges from 18 to 24 denier.

25

7. The process of claim 1 wherein the tufting is  
accomplished with 5/32 inch gauge tufting needles.

8. The process of claim 1 wherein the yarn is tufted through the backing at a rate of 3 to 10 stitches per inch.

5 9. The process of claim 1 wherein the yarn is twisted 5-6 turns per inch.

10. The process of claim 1 wherein the yarn is tufted to a pile height of 1/4 to 3/4 inches.

10

11. The process of claim 1 wherein the step of bonding the tufts to the substrate is accomplished by applying rubber to the substrate.

15 12. The process of claim 1 wherein the step of bonding the tufts to the substrate is accomplished by applying latex to the substrate.

20 13. The process of claim 1 wherein the step of twisting a yarn is accomplished by twisting the yarn five to six turns per inch.

14. The process of claim 1 wherein the coarse denier fiber is nylon.

25

15. The process of claim 1 wherein the coarse denier fiber is polyester.

16. The process of claim 1 further comprising the step of heat treating the yarn after the yarn is twisted.

5           17. A washable track control mat comprising:  
a plurality of tufts, at least one tuft comprising  
a yarn made of at least one fine denier fiber twisted together with  
at least one coarse denier fiber;  
a substrate to receive the tufts; and  
10           bonding means for bonding the tufts to the  
substrate.

18. The washable track control mat of claim 17  
wherein the yarn is heat treated.

15

19. The washable track control mat of claim 17  
wherein the fine denier fiber ranges from 15 to 100 denier.

20           20. The washable track control mat of claim 19  
wherein the fine denier fiber ranges from 18 to 24 denier.

21. The washable track control mat of claim 17  
wherein the coarse denier fiber ranges from 100 to 1,000 denier.

25           22. The washable track control mat of claim 121  
wherein the coarse denier fiber ranges from 300 to 600 denier.

23. The washable track control mat of claim 17 wherein the coarse denier fiber is nylon.

24. The washable track control mat of claim 17  
5 wherein the coarse denier fiber is polyester.

25. The washable track control mat of claim 17 wherein the bonding means is vulcanized rubber.

10 26. The washable track control mat of claim 25 wherein the bonding means is latex.

27. The washable track control mat of claim 17 wherein the tufts are made with 5/32 inch gauge tufting needles.  
15

28. The washable track control mat of claim 17 wherein the yarn is received into the substrate at a rate of 3 to 10 stitches per inch.

20 29. The washable track control mat of claim 17 wherein the yarn is twisted 5-6 turns per inch.

30. The washable track control mat of claim 17 wherein the yarn is tufted to a pile height of 1/4 to 3/4 inches.  
25

31. The washable track control mat of claim 17 wherein the mat weighs between 16 and 24 ounces per square yard without the bonding means.



5 32. The washable track control mat of claim 17 wherein the weight ratio range of coarse denier fibers to fine denier fibers is between 20-24% to 76-80% respectively.

10

15

20

25



**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**

IPC(6) : D05C 15/04, 17/02; B32B 3/02, 33/00; D02G 3/36

US CL : 112/266.2, 410; 156/72; 428/92; 57/236, 243

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 112/80.01, 80.7, 80.71, 266.2, 410; 156/72; 428/88, 89, 92, 97; 57/236, 238, 243, 244, 248, 282

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

APS

search terms: tufting, denier, coarse, fine, twisting, spinning, rubber, latex

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages   | Relevant to claim No.       |
|-----------|--|-----------------------------|
| X         | PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN<br>VOL. 14, NO. 470 (C-0769) 15 October 1990 & JP-A-02<br>191 733 (KAWASHIMA TEXTILE MANUF LTD) 27 July<br>1990, see abstract. | 1-32                        |
| X         | US,A,3,940,522 (WESSELLS) 24 February 1976, see table<br>I and claims.   | 1-32                        |
| Y         | US, A, 4,820,566 (HEINE ET AL) 11 April 1989, see entire<br>document.  | 1-32                        |
| Y         | US, A, 4,619,108 (HOTTA) 28 October 1986, col. 3, lines<br>4-17.   | 1-32                        |
| Y         | US, A, 5,209,974 (MARTIN ET AL) 11 May 1993, col 2,<br>lines 18-52.  | 9, 13-16, 18,<br>23, 24, 29 |

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.
 ☐ See patent family annex.

|     |   |     |  |
|-----|---|-----|--|
| *A* | Special categories of cited documents:  | *T* | later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  |
| *E* | document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  | *X* | document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone   |
| *L* | document published on or after the international filing date  | *Y* | document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art |
| *O* | document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) | *Z* | document member of the same patent family  |
| *P* | document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  |     |  |
| *P* | document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  |     |  |

Date of the actual completion of the international search

04 NOVEMBER 1994

Date of mailing of the international search report

12 JUL 1995

 Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
 Box PCT  
 Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile N. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

PAUL C. LEWIS

Telephone No. (703) 308-0861

## C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|
| Y         | US, A, 5,055,333 (HEINE ET AL) 08 October 1991, see entire document.               | 1-32                  |
| A         | US, A, 4,045,605 (BREENS ET AL) 30 August 1977, see entire document.               | 1-32                  |
| A         | US, A, 4,466,331 (MATHESON) 21 August 1984, col 4, lines 41-55.                    | 1-32                  |
| A         | US, A, 4,808,459 (SMITH ET AL) 28 February 1989, col 2 line 65 - col 3 line 9.     | 11, 12, 25, 26        |
| A         | US, A, 5,284,009 (TUNG ET AL) 08 February 1994, col 3, lines 1-41.                 | 1, 14, 15, 17, 23, 24 |
| A         | US, A, 4,454,196 (IOHARA ET AL) 12 June 1984, col 3, lines 20-42.                  | 1, 14, 15, 17 23, 24  |
| A         | US, A, 4,430,851 (SUNDET) 14 February 1984, see entire document.                   | 1-32                  |
| A         | US, A, 3,011,243 (HERRNSTADT) 05 December 1961, see entire document.               | 1-32                  |
| A         | US, A, 3,982,977 (GORDON) 28 September 1976, see entire document.                  | 1-32                  |